

**CIVIL JURY TRIAL
MINUTE SHEET**DATE: January 19, 2011

United States District Court	Eastern District of Virginia - Richmond Division
CASE TITLE e-Plus, Inc. v. Lawson Software, Inc.,	CASE NO: 3:09CV00620 JUDGE: Payne COURT REPORTER: Diane Daffron OCRS

F I L E D
JAN 19 2011
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
RICHMOND, VA

Day 10

MATTER COMES ON FOR: JURY TRIAL (X) MOTIONS BEFORE TRIAL: _____

TRIAL PROCEEDINGS:

JURY: APPEARED, SWORN, EXAMINED ON VOIR DIRE () EMPANELED, SWORN TO TRY ISSUE ()

WITNESSES EXCLUDED ON MOTION OF: PLAINTIFF(S) () DEFENDANT(S) () COURT ()

OPENING STATEMENTS MADE () OPENING WAIVED ()

PLAINTIFF(S) ADDUCED EVIDENCE () RESTED () MOTION () _____

DEFENDANT(S) ADDUCED EVIDENCE () RESTED () MOTION () _____

REBUTTAL EVIDENCE ADDUCED () SUR-REBUTTAL EVIDENCE ADDUCED ()

EVIDENCE CONCLUDED () ARGUMENTS OF COUNSEL HEARD ()

JURY CHARGED BY THE COURT () ALTERNATE JUROR(S) DISCHARGED ()

OBJECTIONS AND/OR EXCEPTIONS TO THE JURY CHARGE NOTED BY * JURY OUT: _____
DEFENDANT () GOVERNMENT () NONE NOTED () ** JURY IN: _____

INQUIRIES OF THE JURY RECEIVED; ANSWERED () ADDITIONAL CHARGE ()

JURY RETURNED VERDICT IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF(S) () MONETARY AWARD \$ _____

JURY RETURNED VERDICT IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT(S) () _____

JURY UNABLE TO AGREE () MISTRIAL DECLARED () JURY DISCHARGED ()

CLERK TO ENTER JUDGMENT ON VERDICT () TRIAL EXHIBITS RETURNED TO COUNSEL ()

CASE CONTINUED UNTIL _____ AT _____ M. FOR _____

MOTION(S) AFTER VERDICT: See page 2, attached for further minute entry.Counsel for the Plaintiff(s): Scott L. Robertson, Esq. Michael G. Strapp, Esq. Craig T. Merritt, Esq.
David Young, Esq. Jennifer A. Alber, Esq.Counsel for the Defendant(s): Daniel W. McDonald, Esq. William D. Schultz, Esq. Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esq.
Kirstin L. Stoll-Debell, Esq.SET: 9:00 a.m. BEGAN: 9:15 a.m. VOIR DIRE: ENDED: 5:20 p.m. TIME IN COURT: 5 hrs. 5 mins.RECESSES: (20) 1 hr. (20)

Minute Continuation Sheet : Matter came on continuation of Jury Trial Proceedings Day 9. Jurors appeared; all present. Defendant continued to adduce evidence. Plaintiff 's Motion to Forclose Certain Testimony of Dr. Shamos heard; arguments had. Motion granted. Lunch recess had. Defendant continued to adduce evidence. Arguments had on Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law heard. Arguments had. No ruling made at this time. Defendant continued to adduce evidence. Jurors sent home at 4:50 p.m. to return tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. for further trial proceedings. Question rec'd from juror; marked as Court Exhibit No. 4. Court adjourned at 5:20 p.m.